

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/072,404	02/08/2002	Paul H. Steen	19603/3810 (CRF D-2693)	4794
75	12/28/2004		EXAMI	NER
Gunnar G. Leinberg, Esq.			TRAN, LEN	
NIXON PEABODY LLP Clinton Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 31051			1725	
Rochester, NY 14603			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/072,404	STEEN, PAUL H.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Len Tran	1725			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the communication apport.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	<u>pril 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12,24-32,42-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12,24-32,42,45-47,50 and 51 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 43,44,48 and 49 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	·	_				
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10-12, 24-28, 30, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Sato et al (US 4,600,048).

Sato et al disclose a system for controlling solidification of the molten metal comprising a

substrate (1), a writing system (9), an erasing system (17), the writing system is for imposing a

thermal gradient on the substrate, wherein the writing system is a laser, a drive system (2), a

container for molten metal, a nozzle connected to the container, and a pressure system to apply

pressure dispense on the nozzle on to the substrate (figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 1725

, O

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 6, 9, 29, 42, 45-47, and 50-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al (US 4,600,048).

Sato et al disclose the claimed invention above, but fail to teach casting with a belt and a prism to reflect light.

However, Sato et al disclose that strip casting can be used in either a roller or a belt. In addition, Sato et al disclose using laser with a condenser lens. Therefore, substituting a condenser lens with a prism would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, since both devices are functionally equivalent.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 43-44 and 48-49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior arts of record fail to teach having a sensor positioned to provide information about the effect of the gradient pattern.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 4/13/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on page 8, 3rd paragraph, that Sato does not teach "a writing system that imposes a gradient pattern..." Examiner respectfully disagrees, since the laser writing

Art Unit: 1725

system of Sato et al is <u>capable of</u> imposing a gradient on the substrate. The laser of Sato et al heats the substrate as well as capable of creating a pattern on the substrate. Therefore, with broadest interpretation, Sato et al disclose the claimed invention as claimed.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1725

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran Examiner Art Unit 1725

LT December 22, 2004

KILEY S. STONER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

XLy the 12/22/09